

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/626,417 | Applicant(s) PONTONE ET AL. |
| | Examiner William L. Miller | Art Unit 3677 |

All Participants:

(1) William L. Miller.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Fritz Schweitzer III.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 7 and 16 were amended to overcome 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, problems and to pass the case to issue.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**WILLIAM L. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)